

Associates for Scriptural Knowledge • P.O. Box 25000, Portland, OR 97298-0990 USA
 © ASK, June 2021 • All rights reserved • Number 6/21

Telephone: 503 292 4352

• Internet: www.askelm.com •


E-Mail: askoffice@askelm.com

UFOs in Antiquity

By David Sielaff, June 2021

Read first the June 1, 2021 Commentary "[Biblical Wonders In The Sky](#)"

Then read the [Newsletter for June 2021](#)



The data for this article comes from a 2007 conference paper presented by Richard Stothers, Ph.D., "[Unidentified Flying Objects in Classical Antiquity](#)."¹ Dr. Stothers was a long-time mathematician and astrophysicist for the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies. He authored many scientific and historical papers. I reprint Stothers' paper here to show that historically there were many instances of "signs in the skies" written about in ancient times, much more than just the "signs" in Jerusalem reported by the Jewish historian Josephus prior to the rebellion of the Jews in 66 AD.

Introduction by David Sielaff

The United States government through the U.S. Navy recently announced that during the month of June 2021 it intends to release a large amount of information that may greatly expand public knowledge about information the U.S. government has about the phenomena called "Unidentified Flying Objects" or UFOs.² Recently the terminology changed to call them UAPs, which stands for "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena."

If the government does release more information, the world will suddenly be more interesting than it is now. The United States government has led the world in investigating UFO or UAP occurrences. It also tries to coerce other nations to regulate and limit their release of information, with mixed results. This latest action by the U.S. Government will perhaps motivate other nations to release even more of their information.

Dr. Ernest Martin wrote a short article on this subject, "[Are UFO's Real?](#)" where he told about a sighting

¹ Dr. Stothers' article was originally published in *The Classical Journal* 103.1 (2007) 79–92. A NASA Goddard Institute webpage, "[Publications by Richard B. Stothers](#)" shows that his professional publications began in 1963 and continued until his last paper was published posthumously in 2012. Dr. Stothers tells his inspiration for his long-time interest in the subject of this paper:

"I acknowledge an interesting conversation with J. Allen Hynek many years ago, and record also my indebtedness to the Columbia University libraries and the New York Public Library. The final form of this paper owes much to the extensive and critical suggestions of S. Douglas Olson and two anonymous referees."

Dr. Stothers worked at the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) his entire 50-year career beginning in 1961. DWS

² In May 2019 the *New York Post* published: "[The Pentagon Finally Admits It Investigates UFOs](#)." The *Washington Examiner* published on March 2021 a television interview by John Radcliffe, former Director of National Intelligence under President Donald Trump, "[Former Intelligence Chief: 'Quite a Few More' UFOs Detected Than Public Knows](#)." the *International Business Times* published, "[Pentagon To Release Detailed Report On UFO Sightings From 'All Over The World'](#)," referenced April 4, 2021. DWS

he made as a meteorologist in the United States Air Force in Greenland. The object he and a colleague sighted was high in the sky and moving at a high rate of speed impossible in the 1950s. I have followed this subject since the 1960s. I wrote about this phenomena in relation to the supernatural in two articles on the ASK website: “[Magic in the Bible](#)” and “[Who Are the Nephilim](#).” The article by Dr. Stothers is an excellent introduction to the subject of UFOs.

Let me share the understanding of two experienced and knowledgeable investigators about UFOs, Director Tom Bowden and Assistant Director Keith Rowell of the [Oregon MUFON](#) (the Mutual UFO Network). They have often said that the U.S. Government **may** have serious and valid reasons to keep their knowledge of UFOs hidden from the public since the 1947 Roswell “crash” and the Kenneth Arnold sighting in the State of Washington also in 1947.³ If the government has good reasons to deny and delay knowledge of UFOs up until now, what are those reasons? The reasons may be: **First**, UFOs exist, but the evidence is so bizarre and contradictory that the government cannot reasonably explain the phenomena without threatening public confidence in our leaders. **Second**, Bowden and Rowell also conclude that UFO phenomena have a strong connection with paranormal or non-rational activities and events. So, why is more information being released now?

I agree with Bowden and Rowell in what they say, but I will go further with my hypothesis. I believe the true reason information has not been made public for the past 73 years (and even before) is because the U.S. government and other governments of the world will not admit the true **origins** of the phenomena. Nor do the governments know what is the **full extent** of UFO activities when they choose to be hidden. They seem to be undetectable whenever they choose. Also, the variety of phenomena, objects, operations, shapes, and sizes change over time. Governments do not know if they are a **physical threat** to humanity and our human rulers (often appointed by God) do not like to admit ignorance.⁴

I agree with a summary by Tom Rogan, Commentary Writer for the *Washington Examiner* in his December 17, 2019 article “[The Real Reasons the US Government Is So Secretive About UFOs](#)”:

“The United States government makes it very hard to figure out what and where UFO-related stuff is going on. Is that because the government is behind some great conspiracy to cover up the proof of alien visitation to Earth? Is it because the government is in cahoots with alien species to create human-alien hybrids? Perhaps, but I suspect not.

What I believe is really going on here is that the few individuals in the U.S. government who know about this issue believe

- **the phenomena might be a threat. And that**
- **they don't know how to deal with it.”**

My opinion (not unique to me) is the UFO phenomena are mostly within the realm of spirits, religion, and the objects and living entities associated with them are subject to the will of God. Their interaction with the 7.4 billion people on earth will increase as we approach the Time of the End before Christ returns.

That is hard for many to accept, perhaps harder than believing such phenomena exist at all. After all, such things likely are not part of their (or your) experiences in life. In fact, phenomena of lights in the skies and other strange occurrences have been happening since creation, and strange things have been happening since ancient but historical times. For strange things, read Nebuchadnezzar’s confession in Daniel 4:28–37.

In Dr. Stother’s article, all notes from footnote #5 to #38 are from his article. Where possible, I tried to provide links for many of Stother’s citations from the ancient sources. Words in [*brackets*] are my editorial additions and comments. A full bibliography of sources follows his article. *DWS*

³ One of the best documented UFO sightings was on May 11, 1950, near McMinnville, Oregon. The photographs taken by a farmer are considered some of the strongest evidence of those years. A yearly UFO Fest with speakers and a parade has been an annual event in McMinnville, Oregon for 21 years (excluding 2020). *DWS*

⁴ See my 2012 article, “[What Is Important](#)” to learn about priorities of human beings and human organizations such as businesses and governments. Then read Dr. Martin’s 1981 article “[The Priorities of God](#).” *DWS*

[“Unidentified Flying Objects in Classical Antiquity”](#)

by **Richard Stothers, Ph.D., 2007, *Classical Journal*, 103.1 79–92**

Abstract: *A combined historical and scientific approach is applied to ancient reports of what might today be called unidentified flying objects (UFOs). Many conventionally explicable phenomena can be weeded out, leaving a small residue of puzzling reports. These fall neatly into the same categories as modern UFO reports, suggesting that the UFO phenomenon, whatever it may be due to, has not changed much over two millennia.*

Throughout recorded history, reports of what we today might call unidentified flying objects have been made and preserved. If more information were available to us, we would perhaps find that conventional scientific hypotheses could explain most, if not all of these.⁵ Certainly this has turned out to be true of most reports from better-documented periods. There nonetheless remains a small residue of puzzling accounts, and regardless of what interpretation one places on them, these constitute a phenomenon that spans centuries of time and widely different cultures.

What may surprise the serious student of the subject is that, despite the numerous articles and books published by scientists on UFOs over the past six decades, almost no scholarly studies of the very early history of the phenomenon have appeared. What little has been accomplished was initiated in 1953 by the astronomer Donald Menzel’s naturalistic interpretation of reports in Pliny the Elder’s *Natural History*,⁶ Menzel’s study, however, proved superficial, and had the unfortunate consequence of inducing UFO enthusiasts to compile long, uncritical lists of all kinds of phenomena seen in the ancient skies and call them UFOs.⁷ Their methodology was roundly criticized in the 1968 *Condon Report* by Samuel Rosenberg, who did not, however, attempt a fresh start by tracking down and analyzing the primary sources themselves. Richard Wittmann, ignoring these authors, produced in 1968 a more scholarly, but also more restricted study of ancient “flying shields.” The subject has languished since 1971 and 1975, when Peter Bicknell published two cautious articles in which UFOs were treated only incidentally.⁸

The most liberal attitude would allow that, to an ancient observer, many aerial phenomena were mysterious and hence to some extent unidentified, despite the observer’s ability to describe them in familiar subjective terms and despite ancient attempts at theorizing about their nature. Today we can filter out the most obvious cases of conventional phenomena, in spite of the archaic terminology used to describe them. The approach adopted here will be to search for aerial phenomena in the more reliable ancient reports that look like modern UFOs, but without ignoring other manifestations of “strangeness.” My working hypothesis will be that most such reports can be explained by conventional scientific ideas and that, among all the reports, only those that defy reasonable interpretation after full analysis can be said to resemble the most puzzling reports made today.⁹

Preliminary screening is relatively easy, thanks to a number of studies of sky phenomena reported in classical antiquity, most famously solar and lunar eclipses, whose reported times and paths can be compared with modern calculations, and comets and new stars (novae), which can be checked against independent observations by Chinese imperial court astronomers. Aurorae too have been inferred from Greek and Roman

⁵ Mythological and biblical literature has been repeatedly ransacked for evidence of UFOs; see, e.g., Jessup (1956); Le Poer Trench (1960). Skeptical views were first expressed by the astronomer Menzel (1953) 124–34, and the psychologist Jung (1958) 79–84.

⁶ Menzel (1953) 118–19.

⁷ Wilkins (1954) 163–74; Drake (1977). Other popularizing authors have generally followed, directly or indirectly, Wilkins and Drake.

⁸ Wittmann (1968); Rosenberg (1969); Bicknell (1971) and (1975).

⁹ Modern UFO cases date from 1945–1947, when a wave of sightings triggered a media frenzy. Vallee (1965) has discussed a number of similar cases from the 19th and early 20th centuries; his collection was foreshadowed by the work of Fort (1941).

reports of “chasms,” “sky fire,” “night suns” and the like; statistical analyses of the times of occurrence of these phenomena during the well-documented interval 223–91 BC show agreement with the modern auroral periodicity of about 11 years, as well as with the modern clustering into two temporal peaks within auroral cycles.

Even rare phenomena such as the aerial lights that occasionally accompany earthquakes can be identified in some cases. After large volcanic eruptions, the sun for a few years appears dim, red and sometimes haloed on account of aerosols injected into the stratosphere; these optical phenomena too crop up in ancient reports and can be correlated with modern measurements of aerosol fallout in dated polar ice cores.¹⁰ Mock suns and mock moons have not been systematically cataloged, but are infrequently recorded and tend to be obvious, owing to their characteristic appearance in pairs. This leaves unusual fireballs, daytime and nighttime disks and the like, and rains of various material, all of which require further analysis.

For presentation purposes, I group the ancient reports in four categories as defined by Hynek for modern UFO sightings (but omitting radar detections), although I have combined Hynek’s Nocturnal Lights and Daylight Disks into a single category, which I call Distant Encounters. I have accepted as separate categories his Close Encounters of the First, Second and Third Kinds, which are differentiated according to proximity, material remains and the presence of “occupants.”¹¹

A brief description of modern UFO sightings may be helpful at this point.¹² Although UFOs vary in morphology and behavior, consistent patterns have emerged. At close range, UFOs appear as disks or other extended objects, including vertical cylinders enveloped in “clouds” and associated with smaller disks. Depending on the viewing angles, their intrinsic shapes might be similar or even identical: a disk seen face-on looks circular, although edge-on it looks elliptical or oblong. Colors in the daytime are usually described as silvery or gray, and in the night as resembling red or multicolored lights. Estimated dimensions range from about one meter to hundreds of meters, with the scatter being probably intrinsic. UFOs are usually said to be noiseless. They are seen in the air or on the ground, hovering or stationary, or moving across the sky in a continuous fashion, even if erratically. Sometimes they suddenly appear or vanish.

A. Distant Encounters

Ideally, ancient Distant Encounters would be separated into nighttime and daytime categories, but this is possible in only a few instances. I have instead designated two objective subgroups, depending on whether the objects are described in military language, as types of “flying armaments,” or in meteorological and astronomical language, as various kinds of “fiery globes.” Within each subgroup the incidents are treated in chronological order.

Flying Armaments

Most reports of flying armaments come from Livy’s prodigy lists [*These are lists of strange things reported around the world. DWS*], which for the years preceding ca. 123 BC were derived (perhaps indirectly) from the *Annales Maximi* published by the Pontifex Maximus of Rome. In view of the time-consuming and costly procedures required by the Roman authorities to investigate witnesses, verify claims and physical evidence, and expiate the more unusual portents, most modern scholars who have troubled to

¹⁰ **Eclipses:** Ginzel (1899); Boll (1909); Schove and Fletcher (1984); Stephenson (1997). **Comets:** Gundel (1921); Barrett (1978); Ramsey (2006). **New stars:** Stothers (1977). **Aurorae:** Stothers (1979a) and (1979b); Solow (2005). **Earthquake lights:** Stothers (2004). **Volcanic effects on the atmosphere:** Hammer et al. (1980); Stothers and Rampino (1983); Stothers (2002). Scientific methods applied to the ancient prodigy lists are a relatively new weapon in the arsenal of textual controls.

¹¹ Hynek’s (1972) is the only classification system having widespread familiarity, and this is just as well, since Vallee’s (1965) earlier system, which he continually refined, is too elaborate for use in the case of the relatively simple ancient reports.

¹² My description summarizes the patterns discerned and discussed by the Vallees (1965), (1966) and (1990); Hynek (1972). A convenient summary of known luminous sky phenomena with which these puzzling cases have been compared can be found at Altschuler (1969).

analyze the prodigy lists have come to regard them as trustworthy and accurate.¹³ The unavoidable limitations are that the reporting area is restricted to central Italy, while the number of reports tends to mirror prevailing social conditions; regrettably, the reports are always very terse. The military terminology reflects the most advanced technology known at the time, a tendency found also in modern UFO reports, in which a witness gropes for a familiar technical vocabulary — and perhaps a rationalization — to describe an unaccountable phenomenon. That many reports were made during wartime may partially explain the military terminology.

The following three reports were made under the considerable pressure of the Second Punic War, when prodigies were most likely sought more frequently and carefully than usual. The observers are unknown, but were probably many in number, which may account for the spike in prodigy reports at this time. No compelling reason exists to infer an epidemic of mass hallucination in central Italy, although Livy did note a measure of mass hysteria, and even hysterical contagion, among the populace because of the looming Carthaginian threat.¹⁴

- At Rome in the winter of 218 BC **“a spectacle of ships (*navium*) gleamed in the sky”** ([Livy 21.62.4](#)). Franklin Krauss, for lack of an alternative explanation, speculated that the “ships” were clouds or mirages, although suggestive cloud formations had been long understood, familiar features.¹⁵
- In 217 BC **“at Arpi round shields (*parmas*) were seen in the sky”** ([Livy 22.1.9](#); [Orosius 4.15](#)). A *parma* was a small round shield made partly or wholly of iron, bronze or another metal; we do not know whether the luster of these devices (and not just their shape) was intended to be an element of the description. Mock suns are an unlikely explanation, since in the Roman prodigy lists these were routinely described as “double suns” or “triple suns” (*i.e.*, two mock suns on either side of the real one).
- In 212 BC **“at Reate a huge stone (*saxum*) was seen flying about”** ([Livy 25.7.8](#)). The implication would seem to be that the object in question was a stony gray color; that it is said to have moved irregularly (*volitare*) leaves open the possibility that the object Livy describes was a bird or some kind of airborne debris.

Sporadic reports of similar objects continue to appear after this in the Roman prodigy [*phenomena*] lists. The immediate sources are again Livy and his extractors Pliny, Plutarch, Obsequens and Orosius:

- In 173 BC **“at Lanuvium a spectacle of a great fleet was said to have been seen in the sky”** ([Livy 42.2.4](#)).
- In 154 BC **“at Compsa weapons (*arma*) appeared flying in the sky”** ([Obsequens 17](#)). The term refers to defensive weapons, especially shields.
- In 104 BC **“the people of Ameria and Tuder observed weapons in the sky rushing together from east and west, those from the west being routed.”** Thus [Pliny \(Nat. 2.148\)](#) who uses the term *arma*; [Obsequens’ \(43\)](#) version is essentially the same. [Plutarch \(Marius 17.4\)](#) calls the weapons **“flaming spears and oblong shields,”** but may be merely glossing and expanding; since he noted the time as night, the phenomenon in question might be the streamers of an aurora borealis.
- In 100 BC, probably at Rome, **“a round shield (*clipeus*), burning and emitting sparks, ran across the**

¹³ See n. 6, above [#10 in this reprint *DWS*]; Krauss (1930).

¹⁴ It did not escape the shrewd notice of [Livy 21.62.1](#) and [24.10.6](#) that the increased number of prodigy reports generated at this time was a sociological consequence of the many reports that had already been made and publicized, as well as a psychological product of fear caused by the war with Carthage. Although Livy voiced skepticism about some of these reports, he did not specify which ones he doubted.

¹⁵ Krauss (1930) 79. Cloud forms when imaginatively interpreted were generally recognized in antiquity to be psychological projections: Ar. Nu. 346–57; Lucr. 4.129–42; Cic. Div. 2.49; Theophanes Confessor AM 5870.

sky from west to east, at sunset.” Thus [Pliny \(Nat. 2.100\)](#), although [Obsequens \(45\)](#) called the phenomenon **“a circular object, like a round shield.”** The *clipeus* was a round shield similar to the *parma*, but bigger. Seneca (Nat. 1.1.15; 7.20.2), quoting Posidonius (1st century BC), referred to a class of *clipei flagrantes*, saying that they persisted longer than shooting stars.¹⁶ Nothing in the ancient reports forbids that these were spectacular bolides (meteoric fireballs), which move across the sky more slowly than ordinary shooting stars, but enormously faster than genuine comets, which are seen for days or weeks.¹⁷

- In 43 BC at Rome **“a spectacle of defensive and offensive weapons (*armorum telorumque species*) was seen to rise from the earth to the sky with a clashing noise.”**¹⁸ It might be possible to visualize in this report a bolide exploding while rising above the horizon.
- Historically, the most famous “sky army” appeared in the spring of ca. AD 65 over Judea. The historian Josephus reports:

“On the 21st of the month Artemisium, there appeared a miraculous phenomenon, passing belief. Indeed, what I am about to relate would, I imagine, have been deemed a fable, were it not for the narratives of eyewitnesses and the subsequent calamities which deserved to be so signalized. For, before sunset throughout all parts of the country, chariots were seen in the air and armed battalions hurtling through the clouds and encompassing the cities.”¹⁹

Although Josephus probably viewed this phenomenon himself and apparently did research on it, he appeals to eyewitness accounts to bolster his credibility. The phenomenon does not seem to have been an aurora, cloud patterns or meteors, but does resemble the “aerial fighting” of modern UFOs.

Fiery Globes

- The first cluster of reports of fiery globes falls during the Second Punic War. Livy reports that in 217 BC **“at Capena two moons rose in the daytime ... and at Capua a kind of moon fell during a rain-storm.”**²⁰ The Capuan “moon” may have been a manifestation of ball lightning, but the “two moons” at Capena most likely were not. Mock moons are seen only at night when the real moon is very bright, but a bolide seen together with the real moon in the daytime, or a bolide split in two, is a possibility.
- Seneca (Nat. 1.1.2; 7.15.1) gives two examples from the eastern Mediterranean. In 168 BC, when L. Aemilius Paullus was waging war against King Perseus of Macedon, **“a ball ... was the form of a fire that appeared, as large as the moon.”** This could have been a bolide.
- A more complicated object made its appearance sometime between 151 and 146 BC:

“After the death of King Demetrius of Syria, ... a little before the Achaean War, a comet blazed

¹⁶ Possibly related to these are the *disceus* comets, which displayed electrum-colored disks surrounded by scattered rays; see Plin. Nat. 2.89; Avienus in Serv. Aen. ad 10.272; Campestris in Scholiast to Luc. ad 1.529 and in Lyd. Ost. 15; Apuleius in Lyd. Ost. 10; Mens. 4.71; Heph. Astr. 1.24. See also Fuhr (1982) on the Typhon comet, which was twisted like a red coil (Plin. Nat. 2.91).

¹⁷ For modern bolides, see Nininger (1952).

¹⁸ [Obsequens 69](#); D.C. 47.2.3; possibly also Verg. Aen. 8.527–9.

¹⁹ J[osephus]. BJ 6.5.3 [[Wars of the Jews 6:297–299](#)] (translation by H. Thackeray); [Tac\[itus\]. Hist. 5.13.2](#). Silverman (1998) discountenances a rare daytime aurora, which would be quite faint. Compare the military imagery with that in [2 Kings 2:11](#); [Zechariah 6:1–8](#); Verg[il]. Aen[ead] 8.528–9. Other ancient reports of celestial armies seem too vague, illusionary or likely apocryphal to merit discussion: Jason of Cyrene in [2 Maccabees 5:1–4](#) (cf. 2:21); App[ian] Mith[rادات] 12.27; [Obsequens 56\[b\]](#); D.C. 51.17.4; 56.24.3–4; Hdn. 8.3.8–9; Nazarius 10.14.

²⁰ [Livy. 22.1.10–12](#); [Orosius 4.15](#). Three moons appeared simultaneously in 223 BC and in 122 BC, and probably consisted of two mock moons on either side of the real moon, although the time is not explicitly stated to have been night: Plin. Nat. 2.99; Plu. Marc. 4.1; [Orosius 4.13](#); [Obsequens 32](#); Apuleius in Lyd. Ost. 4; Zonaras 8.20.

out, not inferior to the sun. At first it was a fiery red disk,²¹ emitting a light so bright that it dissipated the night. Then, little by little, its size dwindled and its brightness faded; at last the light died completely.”

Since the object was seen for more than a moment (as indicated by its designation as a *cometes*), it was probably not ball lightning or a bolide; it also seems to have been too bright to have been the former, and too stationary to have been the latter. Nor could it have been an instance of “night sun” (*sol noctu*), defined by Pliny as creating diffuse light in the nighttime sky and interpreted today as an aurora.²²

- Two parallel records of 91 BC preserved by Livy’s extractors Orosius and Obsequens refer to central Italy.²³ Over the city of Rome **“about sunrise a ball of fire shone forth from the northern region with a loud noise in the sky.”** The sonic boom indicates that this was probably a bolide, rather than ball lightning as Bicknell suggested.
- The same year, a much stranger object was noticed near Spolegium:

“Furthermore, several Romans on a journey saw a gold-colored ball roll down from the sky to the earth; after growing larger, it was seen to rise upward again from the earth toward the rising sun and to block the sun itself by its size.”

Bicknell proposed that this was ball lightning. But outside of high-altitude storm clouds, ball lightning averages only 23 cm. in diameter, and the description suggests something much larger than this. Although the reported vertical motion, drawn-out duration and prevailing sunny weather are not unheard-of in ball lightning observations, the combination of improbable characteristics makes this explanation unattractive. The object’s apparent trajectory appears more consistent with the approach, overhead passage and retreat of a bolide. On the other hand, an actual landing on or near the ground is strongly indicated.

- [Pliny \(Nat. 2.100\)](#) also reports an incident that at first glance looks like the preceding one, but occurred at night:

“A spark was seen to fall from a star and to grow as it approached the earth; after it had become as large as the moon, light was diffused all around as if on a cloudy day; then, retreating to the sky, the object changed into a torch. This is recorded to have occurred only once: Silanus the proconsul with his retinue saw it, in the consulship of Gnaeus Octavius and Gaius Scribonius.”

M. Junius Silanus was governor of the province of Asia in 76 BC, and the incident probably took place there. Silanus’ testimony receives indirect support from an allusion by Lydus (Ost. 6) to several later occurrences of the same phenomenon, although without reference to a torch. The size, brightness and transience of the object at its maximum seem to rule out a comet or a new star (nova), interpretations suggested by Barrett and Hertzog, respectively. But Bicknell’s proposal of ball lightning also founders on the object’s change into a torch. Wittmann has postulated a complex UFO encounter, but this explanation seems unnecessary. Since no landing of the object was reported, it is simplest and most natural to interpret the event as the overhead passage of a bolide leaving a luminous train.²⁴

- It is not until four centuries later that the next report in this category is found:

“At Antioch, in the daytime, a star was seen toward the eastern part of the sky, emitting smoke

²¹ Contrary to Ramsey (2006) 79–81, the color indicates that it was not a genuine, white comet; see also Sen. Nat. 1.15.2.

²² Plin. Nat. 2.100; Stothers (1979a) 94–5.

²³ [Orosius 5.18](#); [Obsequens 54](#). See also Bicknell (1971) 13–16 and (1975) 286–8. Ball lightning is described by Smirnov (1993).

²⁴ Wittmann (1968) 225; Bicknell (1971) 14–15 and (1987) 163–4; Barrett (1978) 93–4; Hertzog (1986) 114–15; Huang (1987) 216; Stothers (1987) 211–13.

copiously as if from a furnace, from the third hour to the fifth hour.”²⁵

This occurred ca. AD 334, and was recorded by a Byzantine annalist, Theophanes Confessor, writing five centuries after the event and using unknown sources. The one-day, two-hour duration of the phenomenon is much too short for a comet, despite the suggestions of Barrett, Mango and Scott, and Ramsey, while the smoking trail of a bolide would have appeared most unstarlike, being elongated, irregular, and gradually dissipative.²⁶

B. Close Encounters of the First Kind

Hynek defined a Close Encounter of the First Kind as an observation at close range of a UFO that fails to interact with the observer and does not leave a physical trace. By this definition, the **“fiery red disk”** of ca. 150 BC and the **“gold-colored ball”** of 91 BC might be considered borderline examples.

- A more characteristic example occurred in 74 BC, when a Roman army under L. Licinius Lucullus was about to engage the forces of King Mithridates VI of Pontus. According to Plutarch:

“But presently, ... with no apparent change of weather, but all on a sudden, the sky burst asunder, and a huge, flame-like body was seen to fall between the two armies. In shape, it was most like a wine-jar (*pithōi*), and in color, like molten silver. Both sides were astonished at the sight, and separated. This marvel, as they say, occurred in Phrygia, at a place called Otryae.”²⁷

The presence of thousands of witnesses, including Lucullus [the Roman general *DWS*] and Mithridates [the King of Pontus *DWS*], vouches for the incident’s occurrence. The term *pithos* was routinely applied by ancient meteorologists to any large barrelshaped, smoky celestial fire, according to Posidonius.²⁸ Could the object of 74 BC have been a meteorite? The bright silvery color might describe the incandescence of the object while falling, but freshly fallen meteorites are black, and Plutarch makes no mention of any noise, let alone an impact. The object must have measured much more than a meter across, since it was easily resolved at a distance greater than half the range of a bowshot. If it had remained on the ground, a meteorite of such size would doubtless have become a cult object in Phrygia, with its long tradition of meteorite worship,²⁹ yet later historical records referring to Phrygian meteorites are silent about it. In modern experience, an episode like this would easily fall under the rubric of a classic UFO encounter. But we cannot rule out the fall of a bolide.

- A fourth incident is known from a biography of St. Anthony, probably written by Athanasius, bishop of Alexandria, following a personal interview with the witness years afterward. The date was ca. 285 AD, in or near the Fayûm in the Egyptian desert. Anthony saw on the desert floor a large silver disk that suddenly vanished like smoke.³⁰ Although the encounter is introduced into the biography in a

²⁵ Theophanes Confessor AM 5826; Barrett (1978) 103; Mango and Scott (1997) 49–50; Ramsey (2006) 173–5. Cf. Revelation 9:1–2. This *astēr* may be the same object as the comet mentioned by Eutropius 10.8 and Aurelius Victor 41 as having appeared before the death of Constantine.

²⁶ Two other dated reports of mysterious fiery globes are not sufficiently reliable to be worth discussing here: one in 323 BC, Ps.-Callisth. 3.33 (cf. Julius Valerius 3.90); and the other in AD 363, Epitome De Caesaribus 43 (cf. Amm. Marc. 25.2.4–8). A fiery pillar appeared near Athens in 404 BC on a moonless, stormy night and was possibly a luminescent tornado: Clem. Al. Strom. 1.24 (cf. [Exodus 13:21–2; 14:24](#)). Other dated fiery pillars and beams were probably auroral displays: Stothers (1979a).

²⁷ Plu. Luc. 8.5–7 (trans. by B. Perrin).

²⁸ [Arist.] Mu. 395b12; Man. 1.842–3; Sen. Nat. 1.14.1; 1.15.2–4; Plin. Nat. 2.90; Ptol. Tetr. 2.9; Alex. Aphr. in Mete. ad 344a5; Origenes Cels. 1.58; Arrianus Meteorologicus in Stob. 1.28.2; Phlp. in Mete. ad 344a16; Apuleius in Lyd. Ost. 10a; Mens. 3.41; 4.71.

²⁹ Cults were associated with several reputed falls of stones in this part of the world, including Troy, Pessinus, Cyzicus, Abydus, Ephesus and Aegospotami.

³⁰ [Athanasius] Vita Antonii 11. Mirages were a familiar phenomenon to those living in the North African deserts: D.S. 3.50.4–51.5; Tert. Adversus Marcionem 3.24. A desert-dweller like Anthony would certainly have been aware of such an effect.

straightforward, factual way, the biography is noted for its religious visions, and even if authentic, the apparition may have been a desert mirage.

C. Close Encounters of the Second Kind

In Hynek's system, a Close Encounter of the Second Kind leaves a physical trace. Ancient literature contains no record of a UFO-like object pressing an imprint into the ground or depositing a material residue. On the other hand, rains of strange material were occasionally reported, and since analogous reports in modern UFO research are accepted when sufficiently well-documented and verified, ancient examples are cited here in the absence of more direct evidence. In modern reports, a whitish gossamer substance dubbed "angel hair" is said on rare occasions to have dropped from a UFO and sometimes to have vanished quickly on contact with the ground. In other reports, glassy fibers are left by a UFO after takeoff from the ground, or a chalky substance remains.³¹

- An ancient sample of "angel hair" was perhaps picked up at Rome in AD 196 by the historian Cassius Dio, who writes:

"A fine rain resembling silver descended from a clear sky upon the Forum of Augustus. I did not, it is true, see it as it was falling, but noticed it after it had fallen, and by means of it I plated some bronze coins with silver; they retained the same appearance for three days, but by the fourth day all the substance rubbed on them had disappeared."³²

Other falls in which a solid whitish substance was involved include two "**rains of chalk**," one at Caes in 214 BC and another at Rome in 98 BC. No other information is offered about the physical nature of this chalk.³³

D. Close Encounters of the Third Kind

A Close Encounter of the Third Kind involves a UFO seen in association with an occupant, usually described as human or humanoid.

- According to Livy, in 214 BC "**at Hadria an altar was seen in the sky; around it were forms of men dressed in shining white**." The nature of the altar (*ara*) is not specified. But four years earlier, "**in the district of Amiternum, in many places, forms of men dressed in shining white were seen at a distance; they did not approach anyone**."³⁴ Except for this report, entities unassociated with a UFO will not be a subject of investigation here [underline mine DWS], as problems of identification and verification present insurmountable obstacles even in modern cases, as Hynek and others have shown. The incident of 214 BC nonetheless strikingly recalls the classic observation of UFO occupants on a hovering, overhead craft seen by Father Gill and his companions in 1959 off Papua New Guinea.³⁵
- The last encounter is again from the early Christian hagiographical literature [biographies of saints DWS] and took place near the Via Campana between Rome and Capua ca. AD 150. On a sunny day, a "beast" like a piece of pottery (*ceramos*) about 100 feet in size, multicolored on top and shooting out fiery rays, landed in a dust cloud, accompanied by a "maiden" clad in white.³⁶ There was only

³¹ See the books by the Vallee (1965), (1966) and (1990).

³² [Dio Cassius 75.4.7](#). The "**rain of silver**" during Aurelian's reign (AD 270–5), mentioned by Georgius Monachus 3.168, probably alluded to that emperor's reform of the imperial silver coinage, although later annalists interpreted the rain literally.

³³ [Livy 24.10.8](#); [Obsequens 47](#); August. C.D. 3.31. Rains of "wool" were also reported: [Livy 42.2.4](#); [Pliny Nat. 2.147](#); [Obsequens 12, 52](#); [Orosius 7.32](#); Jerome Chronica AA 2383; Lyd. Ost. 6.

³⁴ [Livy 21.62.5](#); [24.10.10](#). See also n. 10, above [note 14 in this reprint DWS].

³⁵ Vallee (1965) 145–8; Hynek (1972) 167–72; Herbison-Evans (1977).

³⁶ [Shepherd of Hermas, Vision 4.1–3](#). Cf. [Exodus 3:2–6](#); [Job 41:19–21](#); [Jeremiah 1:13](#); [Ezekiel 1:1–28](#); [3:12–14](#); [10:1–22](#); [11:22–4](#). Hermas' experience resembles the Miracle of Fatima incident in 1917, which Vallee (1965) 148–51 regarded as a classic occupant case.

one witness to the event, probably Hermas the brother of Pope Pius I.

Conclusions

This collection of what might be termed ancient UFO reports has been culled from a much larger number of reports of aerial objects, most of whose identifications with known phenomena are either certain or at least highly probable. Embedded in the mass of relatively explicable ancient reports, however, is a small set of unexplained (or at least not wholly explained) reports from presumably credible witnesses. If these reports are examined statistically, essential features of what I will, for argument's sake, call the ancient UFO phenomenon can be extracted:

- *shape* — discoidal or spheroidal;
- *color* — silvery, golden or red;
- *texture* — metallic or, occasionally, glowing or cloudy;
- *size* — a meter to well over a meter;
- *sound* — usually none reported;
- *type of motion* — hovering, erratic or smooth flight, with a rapid disappearance.

In at least one instance, the presence of “occupants” covered in shiny white clothing is reported. Encounters range from distant views to possibly actual contact; the preferred place and time of observation seem to be rural areas in the daytime. Physical evidence is generally lacking.

Greek and Roman scientific thinkers, who were never at a loss for theories, usually regarded these types of aerial phenomena as stars, clouds, atmospheric fires, light reflections or moving material bodies.³⁷ Since most of the original theories hark back to Aristotle and his predecessors, with none being later than Posidonius, they generally predate the reports collected here, none of which is earlier than 218 BC. It is accordingly impossible to know whether the later observers (mostly practical Romans) interpreted the phenomena literally as they described them or were simply using the best descriptive language they were capable of, while holding back on theoretical speculation.³⁸ But any viable theory must reckon with the extraordinary persistence and consistency of the phenomena discussed here over many centuries.

Whether one prefers to think in terms of universal recurrent visions from the collective unconscious, misperceptions of ordinary objects, unusual atmospheric effects, unknown physical phenomena or extraterrestrial visitations, what we today would call UFOs possess an intrinsic interest that has transcended the passage of time and the increase of human knowledge.

Dr. Richard Stothers, NASA

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Works Cited

[from Stother's article]

Altschuler, Martin D. 1969. “[Atmospheric Electricity and Plasma Interpretations of UFOs.](#)” In *Scientific Study of Unidentified Flying Objects*, edited by Edward U. Condon and Daniel S. Gillmor, pp. 723–55. New York.

Barrett, Anthony A. 1978. “[Observations of Comets in Greek and Roman Sources before AD 410.](#)” *Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada* 72: 81–106.

Bicknell, Peter J. 1971. “Kugelblitz in Classical Antiquity?” *Apeiron* 5: 12–16.

³⁷ Arist. Mete. 339a33–45a10; 370b3–78b6; Sen. Nat. 1, 7; Aëtius 3.2.

³⁸ At least before the 1st century BC, Greek scientific theory would not have been familiar to many Romans, and so the lack of explicit interpretation in these simple reports should not be deemed surprising.

- . 1975. “Globus Ignis.” In *Le monde grec: hommages à Claire Préaux*, edited by Jean Bingen, Guy Cambier and Georges Nachtergaele, pp. 285–90. Brussels.
- . 1987. “Transients of 76 BC.” *Observatory* 107: 163–4.
- Boll, Franz. 1909. “Finsternisse.” *RE* 6: 2329–64.
- Drake, W. Raymond. 1977. *Gods and Spacemen in Greece and Rome*. New York.
- Fort, Charles. 1941. *The Books of Charles Fort*. New York.
- Fuhr, Ilse. 1982. “[On Comets, Comet-Like Luminous Apparitions and Meteors \(Concluded\)](#).” *Kronos* 8: 38–52.
- Ginzel, Friedrich K. 1899. *Spezieller Kanon der Sonnen- und Mondfinsternisse für das Ländergebiet der Klassischen Altertumswissenschaften und den Zeit-raum von 900 vor Chr. bis 600 nach Chr.* Berlin.
- Gundel, Wilhelm. 1921. “Kometen.” *RE* 11(1): 1148–93.
- Hammer, Claus U., Henrik B. Clausen and Willi Dansgaard. 1980. “[Greenland Ice Sheet Evidence of Post-Glacial Volcanism and Its Climatic Impact](#).” *Nature* 288: 230–5.
- Herbison-Evans, Don. 1977. “[Extraterrestrials on Earth](#).” *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society* 18: 511–13.
- Hertzog, Keith P. 1986. “The Candle Star—Our Nearest Cataclysmic Neighbor?” *Observatory* 106: 114–15.
- Huang, Yi-Long. 1987. “The Chinese ‘Candle Star’ of 76 BC.” *Observatory* 107: 213–17.
- Hynek, J. Allen. 1972. *The UFO Experience: A Scientific Enquiry*. Chicago.
- Jessup, Morris K. 1956. *UFO and the Bible*. New York.
- Jung, Carl G. 1958. *Ein moderner Mythos*. Zurich.
- Krauss, Franklin B. 1930. *An Interpretation of the Omens, Portents, and Prodigies Recorded by Livy, Tacitus, and Suetonius*. Philadelphia.
- Le Poer Trench, Brinsley. 1960. *The Sky People*. London.
- Mango, Cyril and Roger Scott. 1997. *The Chronicle of Theophanes Confessor*. Oxford.
- Menzel, Donald H. 1953. *Flying Saucers*. Cambridge.
- Nininger, Harvey H. 1952. *Out of the Sky*. Denver.
- Ramsey, John T. 2006. “A Descriptive Catalogue of Greco-Roman Comets from 500 BC to AD 400.” *Syllecta Classica* 17: 1–242.
- Rosenberg, Samuel. 1969. “[UFOs in History](#).” In *Scientific Study of Unidentified Flying Objects*, edited by Edward U. Condon and Daniel S. Gillmor, pp. 481–502. New York.
- Schöve, D. Justin and Alan Fletcher. 1984. *Chronology of Eclipses and Comets, AD 1–1000*. Suffolk.
- Silverman, Sam. 1998. “Early Auroral Observations.” *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics* 60: 997–1006.
- Smirnov, Boris M. 1993. “Physics of Ball Lightning.” *Physics Reports* 224: 151–236.
- Solow, Andrew R. 2005. “On Celestial Events, Auroral Activity, and the Solar Cycle in Classical Antiquity.” *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 232: 67–70.
- Stephenson, F. Richard. 1997. [Historical Eclipses and Earth’s Rotation](#). New York.
- Stothers, Richard B. 1977. “[Is the Supernova of AD 185 Recorded in Ancient Roman Literature?](#)” *Isis* 68: 443–7.

- . 1979a. “Ancient Aurorae.” *Isis* 70: 85–95.
- . 1979b. “Solar Activity Cycle during Classical Antiquity.” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* 77: 121–7.
- . 1987. “[The Roman Fireball of 76 BC.](#)” *Observatory* 107: 211–13.
- . 2002. “[Cloudy and Clear Stratospheres before AD 1000 Inferred from Written Sources.](#)” *Journal of Geophysical Research* 107 (D23): Art. 4718.
- . 2004. “Ancient and Modern Earthquake Lights in Northwestern Turkey.” *Seismological Research Letters* 75: 199–204.
- Stothers, Richard B. and Michael R. Rampino. 1983. “[Volcanic Eruptions in the Mediterranean before AD 630 from Written and Archaeological Sources.](#)” *Journal of Geophysical Research* 88: 6357–71.
- Vallee, Jacques. 1965. *Anatomy of a Phenomenon: Unidentified Objects in Space. A Scientific Appraisal.* Chicago.
- . 1990. *Confrontations: A Scientist’s Search for Alien Contact.* New York.
- Vallee, Jacques and Janine Vallee. 1966. *Challenge to Science: The UFO Enigma.* Chicago.
- Wilkins, Harold T. 1954. *Flying Saucers on the Attack.* New York.
- Wittmann, Richard G. 1968. “Flying Saucers or Flying Shields.” *CJ* 63: 223–6. [End Stothers’ Article]

What Does This Mean? — by David Sielaff

What is the significance of the data detailed in the study by Dr. Stothers? One thing to note is that God’s creation is wondrous and amazingly varied with all the strange things that naturally happen. It teems with more life, beauty, and wonders — as well as evils and death — than we can imagine in our life experience. Yes, strange things do happen in nature as these ancient reports tell us, and they happen in our day also. Furthermore, significant and strange coincidences occur in our lives as they occurred in the ancient past.³⁹

Let me give one example from your life. As a believer you have been given the gift of knowledge and understanding. You have been blessed to learn about your salvation through the works of Christ. You have been given God’s Holy Spirit! You know that now you are a child of the Living God and part of the Body of Christ. Together all those things are very unusual. A tiny number of people in history have learned and experienced them. And a tiny number in the world today have been blessed as you have been.

You will be stunned to learn how “strange” our lives have been. You will learn the full significance of God’s gifts to us only after your resurrection to glory. You are special to God. You are the center of all creation. Why? Because you were saved before you were born, and even earlier. God the Father has ...

“... blessed us with all spiritual blessings in heavenly places in Christ: According as he has chosen us in him before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and without blame before him in love: Having predestinated us unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to himself, according to the good pleasure of his will,”

• Ephesians 1:3–5

God’s Son Christ Jesus completed His work and died for you long ago. Your confession in God and His Son’s sacrifice for sins and resurrection does not save you, it merely acknowledges that your faith came from God as His gift to you (Ephesians 2:7–10).⁴⁰

So do not let “strange things” told in Stother’s article or reported in the world today unduly distress you even if they are outside your life experience. Most of the strange things listed by Livy and other ancient

³⁹ See the December 2020 *Jerusalem Post* article (and short video), “[Former Israeli space security chief says aliens exist, humanity not ready.](#)” DWS

⁴⁰ See my 2017 Commentary “[You As a Child of God](#)” and my 2009 article “[Your Resurrection.](#)” DWS

authors are natural phenomena that they could not explain, but we can do so today. But many things that happen cannot be explained in the past or today. Ancient writers explained them within their cultural and religious understanding of the “gods,” and some of them were spirits. Flying shields, sounds of battle, and sights of strange objects and beings in the skies cannot be dismissed when similar things were reported over millennia, and are reported today. Some of what ancient peoples saw and what we see today are objects operated by non-human beings. Other sightings are perhaps projections, holograms, or technological marvels.

None of this should surprise us. The prophet Elijah was taken into the sky in a chariot drawn by horses that could fly (2 Kings 2:11–12, 2 Chronicles 21:12–20). Ezekiel saw a vehicle comprised of or controlled by spirit beings who had animal features (Ezekiel chapter 1). The apostles James, John and Peter witnessed Jesus’ transfiguration (Matthew 17:1–9; Mark 9:1–10). All the apostles saw Jesus ascend into heaven.⁴¹

These entities are real, they seek to “mess with” believers, and they want us dead (Romans 8:38; Ephesians 3:10, 6:12; Colossians 1:16, 2:15; Titus 3:1). Most of us are familiar with this verse:

“For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.”

• **Ephesians 6:12**

Read other translations about these evil beings (created by God for a purpose) of the last line of that verse:

“... against the spiritual forces of evil <u>in the heavenly places.</u> ”	(English Standard Version)
“... against the spiritual forces of wickedness <u>in the heavenly places.</u> ”	(New American Standard)
“... against the spiritual forces of evil <u>in the heavens.</u> ”	(NET Bible)
“... against the spiritual hosts of wickedness <u>in the heavenly places.</u> ”	(Revised Standard Version)
“... against the spiritual forces of wickedness <u>in the heavenlies.</u> ”	(Rotherham Bible)
“... with the spiritual things of the evil <u>in the heavenly places.</u> ”	(Young’s Literal Bible)

As you can see from these and many other translations, those spiritual beings rule domains off the earth, in the skies and space close to earth, but they act on and interact with humanity on the earth. These **“heavenly places”** are the sky and outer space (even to the farthest galaxies) but not in the highest **“third heaven”** (2 Corinthians 12:2) where God and Christ and their servants currently reside.

You, me, and all believers alive today can be targets of the evils these spiritual beings seek to inflict upon us. Perhaps they can identify us by the seal of God’s Holy Spirit within us.

“That we should be to the praise of his glory, who first trusted in Christ.

**In whom you also trusted,
after that you heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation:
in whom also
after that you believed,**

you were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise, Which is the earnest of our [future] inheritance until the redemption of the purchased possession, unto the praise of His [Christ’s] glory.”

• **Ephesians 1:12–14**

When the preaching of the Gospel is resumed to all the world, all mankind will go through a temporary “conversion.” Then all the world will become the target of **“spiritual wickedness in high places,”** who realize their time is very short, just like Satan’s time will be short (Revelation 12:12). Many will fall away (2 Thessalonians 2:3). Then God will bring a **“strong delusion”** (2 Thessalonians 2:11). However, our salvation is guaranteed as Paul explained in a different verse that mentions these principalities and powers.

⁴¹ Indeed, **“Why Does God Need an Army?”** He created and delegates an army to protect believers when Christ returns (all of us will be dead by that time) from what is “out there”: the principalities and powers that will oppose Christ’s return to earth. DWS

“For I am persuaded, that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.”

• *Romans 8:38–39*

The word “angel” is the word “messenger” in both Hebrew and Greek. It need not be a supernatural being; human beings can be messengers for God. The biblical context shows whether the messenger is human or a spirit being. Satan has **“his angels”** (Revelation 12:7–9) who are opposed by God’s angels. I believe Satan’s angels are different from the principalities and powers, who are not subject to Satan.

In an article by Dr. Ernest Martin about angels, he wrote that UFOs (and now UAPs) could be angels, or vehicles operated by angels. His words apply to any supernatural being that may try to contact you, your family, or friends. Their reason for any contact with you and them is to lead you away from God’s Word.

“Since the apostles Paul and Peter were keen on telling people that the angels and their doctrines were definitely inferior to those of Christ, it is best for Christians to avoid all such contacts. And that includes UFO sightings (some of which are prompted by angelic activities). In simple terms, avoid contacting any and all angels.

Much mischief has emerged in Christendom because mankind over the centuries has been prone to dabble with angels and to accept their false angelic teachings. This is wrong! Let God and Christ handle all such religious affairs for you (NOT the angels). This is because you are a member of the divine Family itself and God is jealous to protect His own Sons and Daughters. But you must know who you are.”

• *Dr. Ernest Martin, “[How God Uses Angels to Govern the Universe](#)”*

In Scripture, angels help humans with minimal communication because there is no reason to talk with them. It is better for all involved. Remember these points from the apostle Paul:

“Do you not know that the saints shall judge the world? and if the world shall be judged by you, are you unworthy to judge the smallest matters?”

Know you not that we [the saints] shall judge angels? how much more things that pertain to this life?”

• *1 Corinthians 6:2–3*⁴²

What Does the Government Expect to Accomplish by a Disclosure?

It depends what information they will try to convey. If there is a public admission of contact with the entities that control the UFOs and UAPs, or if there is an announcement of contact with a “federation” of various beings, then that information will be a partial lie. It would mean that the U.S. Government and governments around the world have been doing what kings, rulers, and priests have frequently done: to be contacted by and interact with nonphysical beings that in earlier times were thought to be “gods” (with a small “g”).

These beings have been around since creation. They have always been in contact with the children of Adam. Though I doubt it, these beings might even acknowledge a Creator, but if they do it will be a different “god” than the God of the Bible.

If the government says something like, “We know strange activity is going on, but we don’t know who or what operates these objects” then there will be hope for some truth to be told. It will be interesting to find out.

David Sielaff, June 2021

⁴² See my three articles “[Elohim and the Son of God Part 1](#),” “[Elohim and the Son of God Part 2](#),” and “[Elohim and the Son of God Part 3](#).” The articles are the print form of my June 2007 video of my PowerPoint presentation, “[Elohim and The Son of God](#)” on sale for \$9.95. DWS

See also Dr. Martin’s article, “[Idolatry - The Curse of Religion](#),” and my articles “[Idolatry and the Sons of God](#),” “[Idolatry and God’s Punishment](#),” and “[Spirits Can Die](#).” DWS